



# South Carolina & SOC Codes

September 26, 2024

Dr. Bryan Grady

# Background

- Act 67 passed in 2023.
- Data Collection began in Q1 2024.
- The S.C. Department of Employment and Workforce (DEW) took **349** phone calls to help companies report SOC Codes in Q1 and Q2 2024.
- Call volume declined significantly through the 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter before briefly spiking in the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter due to a letter campaign to erroneous reporters.



# Outcomes and Data Acquisition

- In total as of Sept. 5<sup>th</sup>, we received **1,898,749** SOC Code input attempts from **96,474** different employers in the 2024 Q2 Unemployment Insurance (UI) records. That is **76 percent** of the employers that submitted UI wage data.
- Compared to other states that are collecting SOC Codes, this response rate in our first year is outstanding!
- We are also collecting hours worked.



# The Data Cleaning Process

- Currently, we are in the process of cleaning and validating the data. With a data set this immense, this has been a significant challenge.
- We validate that the SOC Codes are accurate six-digit codes.
  - We produced a four-part typology of the errors
    - 1) Letters: a word as a description
    - 2) Filler: like all 0's or all 9's
    - 3) Numbers, other: an employee number or other numeric code that is not six digits
    - 4) Attempted code: a six-digit code that does not correspond to a SOC code (includes “banner” codes that end in zero)

# Calls to Those Who Failed to Submit

- **76 percent** of businesses who submitted wage data have attempted to submit SOC Codes.
- For the remainder, our UI department has been calling to remind the businesses of the new South Carolina requirement.



# Sending Letters to Increase Participation

- Having identified spurious SOC Code entries, we have started the process of sending letters to those who entered incorrect SOC codes.
- Having the right tone in SOC Code messaging.

# The Process

- The second step is to match the codes to industry standards.
  - The most challenging step here is to determine how much data manipulation is appropriate.
  - We initially tried to match SOC Codes to industry standards, but this was more difficult than assumed.
  - Additionally, over-matching to industry standards can erase trends in the data specific to the South Carolina workforce, which are the very trends we are trying to capture.

# Wrong SOC Code Usage

- Largely, we have moved on to examining the data in two course-grained ways.
  1. The number of unique SOC Codes submitted by a company with 30 or more employees.
  2. The frequency of use of Codes ending in 99, the “all other” category.  
Some companies may have seen these as a convenient catch-all, but we need these data to be as accurate as possible.

# Insights and Lessons Learned

- Our first major takeaway is that people will take advantage of help entering the SOC Codes if offered.
- Second, clearly explaining the nature of the data to be entered is key.
- Industry staffing patterns do not match state-specific data as well as we hoped.



# Why Are SOC Codes Important to LMI?

- It provides specific data about South Carolina's workforce, giving us a unique glimpse into the cultural, environmental, industrial, and educational traits that make up our labor market.

# Questions?

## Labor Market Information

[LMICustomerService@dew.sc.gov](mailto:LMICustomerService@dew.sc.gov)

Bryan Grady, Ph.D.  
Assistant Executive Director  
[bgrady@dew.sc.gov](mailto:bgrady@dew.sc.gov)

Brandon Wilkerson  
Labor Market Analytics Director  
[bwilkerson@dew.sc.gov](mailto:bwilkerson@dew.sc.gov)